

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 1050185 DATE: <u>071508</u> ARRIVE: <u>1342</u> DEPART: <u>1357</u>			
FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA ROCK IND MERSHON STREET			
FACILITY LOCATION: 2300 MERSHON STREET			
LAKELAND 33815			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Kathy Chumley PHONE:			
CONTACT NAME: Clark Vandevander PHONE: (863)528-0490			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/17/2006 / 6/17/2011 (effective date) (end date)			
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ✓ appropriate box(es))			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? □Yes □ No			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C (continued)		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)		
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)		
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?		
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?		
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? Yes No		
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☒; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable ☐ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☒ only one box.</i>) 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)		

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
 Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		
emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of win	paved areas under control of the owner/operator to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes No No No do breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
	emissions at the drop point to the truck? \Box Yes \Box No	
PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – I A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.	
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the ownotification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050)	placement? erent than that noted on the most	
Natrevia Gradney	07/15/08	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
COMMENTS: Natrevia Gradney spoke with Mr. Clark Vandevander, Area Manager, and he explained that the responsible official has changed and the new responsible official is Ms. Kathy Chumley.		
The company completed its 2007 annual VE testing and I asked Mr. Vandevander if they were planning to schedule for the 2008 test to stay in complaince with their permit, he said yes they have consultants that are scheduling that test for them.		
Mr. Vandevander also mentioned that the other FRI located on Hwy 630 is idle and has been for the last 4 months.		
Mr. Vandevander explained that the facility had a baghouse change in 2006, but no other equiment changes had taken place in the last couple of years. I observed a sprinkler system in operation over the stockpiles that were located in bins. The facility also performs weekly sweeping of the grounds and the grounds were clean.		
The emission unit was not in operation at the time of inspection and no visible emissions were present.		